DRAFT

OGC:RPB:afb 25 April 1955

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Chief,	Finance	Division
------------	------	--------	---------	----------

SUBJECT:

Movement of Household Effects, Travel and Transportation Vouchers; and Dependents

25X1A9a

REFERENCES:

- (a) Memorandum to Chief, Fiscal Division from Chief, Finance Division dated 4 April 1955, subject above
- (b) Comments on Routing and Record Sheet, to Chief, Finance Division from ExO, OP dated 11 April 1955
- (c) Memorandum to Office of General Counsel from Chief, Finance Division, same subject, dated 15 April 1955
- 1. This memorandum is in answer to your request of 15 April 1955 (and its attachments) requesting the opinion of this office as to whether it would be legally proper for CIA to pay the cost of movement of house-hold effects and travel of subject and his dependents in the circumstances cited.
- 2. Subject was employed by the Post Office Department in Boston,

 Massachusetts as a substitute clerk (indefinite), as a part-time

 employee on a part-time basis. At the time he made application to CIA,

 he was instructed by the CIA recruiting officer not to tell anyone that

 he was being considered for employment by CIA. He was informed of his

 acceptance for duty with CIA by letter dated 20 December 1954 and sub
 mitted a resignation from the Post Office Department, effective 15 January

 1955. He asked the CIA recruiting officer about collecting his cost of the

on duty a collection was made from him for his unused annual leaveRefer Legal
with CIA, in Workington D. C. on 24 January 1958) (Some bours)
on duty a collection was made from him for his unused annual leave Refer Legal
since he had received a lump sum payment upon his separation from the
Postpontried Four Beleaset 200 THOS/67 rellA-BPR58 90453 ROO0300120139-7 ntinuous

service. No formal travel order has been issued.

2. The basic statutory provision withorizing reinbursement for travel and hangostata. exposer and exposer weedent Shoreto, welling those weuned in the movement of despendente, lourstoed goode and personal effects, in the case of a domestic parefer letuser government agencies, 15 Section 1 (2) of Dublic San 600, 79th Cagners, 2 august 1946 (60 Stal) 806), as amended by Oulles for 730, 7/st Corgues, 23 September 1950 (64 Stat. 985), which in relevant part provides: I guste from HB 22-100-1, pp. 39-40 from " under sud regulations " to " - based or cubic measurement)." 6. CIA Regulation 30-1, 3 December 1952, provides that he expenses described share "may be paid to a an bebalf of employees in accordances with the provisions of " the Daws above ated, with alia. 21 havenber 1952, Section 3-A()(a)(2) provides: I quote I

25X1A

The some are:

(3. Would subject, extilled to

reinsbursement of the expenses described

above, under Puller Law boo, so

amerded, at the orders of under

proper travel orders of usual

and to movement? would for

by a the extillenant, if any,

lost through the farture to usual

a truel order puto to movement?

5. a. 32 Comp. Gen. 177 and B-69310 unpublished decisions of the Comptroller General cited in the claim, indicate that subject, although holding an indefinite appointment with the Post Office Department, is considered a "regular employee" for the purposes of the Holiday Compensation Act of June 29, 1938, as emended.

b. 27 Comp. Gen. 757 defines "transfer" as used in . 600
to include "all bonified changes of employment between departments so
as to authorize payment in such cases, provided service in two agencies
is continuous and all statutory requirements and regulations are
complied with." The same decision defines the phrase "for permanent

duty" as relating to "change in permanent duty station of employee and not to tenure of appointment of employee so as to exclude from benefits of act employees of other than permanent appointments".

c. The total effect of the foregoing would be to qualify subject for reimbursement if a prior transfer order had been issued.

Approved For Release 2007/02/07: CIA-RDP58-00453R000300120139-7

2, 27 Comp. Gen. 128 and 27 Comp. Gen. 779 are unequivocal in requiring prior authorization for travel expenses at the time of a transfer such as this one. The latest are transfer as the same of a transfer such as this one.

by These decisions, bowevery have been superseded by Publis Four 730, which changed the phroning of Section 1 (6) of Publis Law 600; Senata Report No. 2995, Aug. 30, 1950, 1950 U.S. Code Cong. Service 3869, at 3773, explains the intent of Congress in making this change:

*

"Subsection 1(a) of the act of August 2, 1946 (60 Stat. 806, 5 U.S.C. 73b-1(a) now authorizes payment of travel expenses of employees, transportation of their families and shipment of their household effects upon transfer from one official station to the other at the convenience of the Government only 'when authorized, in the order directing the travel.' Subsection 1(a) of the present bill would substitute for those words the words 'authorized or approved.' That amendment would permit reimbursement to employees for expenses of their travel, the cost of transportation of their families, and shipment of their household effects upon transfer in cases where authority to incur those expenses inadvertently might be omitted from the original orders, or where no formal order was issued in advance. Normally orders covering such expenses are and should be issued in advance, but it must be recognized that circumstances occasionally make that impossible.

"There have been many instances under the present law where hardship has resulted because employees have been directed by their superiors to transfer from one permanent-duty station to another only to find that the expenses necessarily incurred by them in effecting the change of station could not be reimbursed because no authorization for incurring such expenses had been issued prior to the performance of the travel. The injustice suffered by employees in those cases frequently is aggravated by the fact that the failure to issue proper and complete orders results from emergency situations in which the employee has complied with the orders of his superiors at considerable personal inconvenience."

Approved For Release 2007/02/07 : CIA-RDP58-00453R000300120139-7

Approver For Release 2007/02/07 : CIA-RDP58-00453R000300120139-7 C. 17B 22-100-1, 1, states: "Officel Should orders) must be usued for staff personnel prior to actua hovely except as provided 25X1A " (1) de applies only to personnel commencing parel saturde the continuent United States, (a) states ! " Il those cases where outfriend time did not epot to issue a travel order pun to the commencement of travel, the appropriate assistant Director or Office heads on their designees, may approve the embursement would by signing the following statement to be sorted on the face of the ucher: Travel without grow writter orders approved! d. The net effect of the foregoing is Hot, despite the apparent Congress start to gravide a remedy for wide variety of "energency situation not usued grew to to performan of froud, again, regulations, or

for one they pertain to domestic

parel; practe and sperfully

1	only for the situation where insufficient
	time existed for the senais of a provi
	Voucher Certifying Officer, Finance Duran,
25V1A0a	Telephonic O
25X1A9a	e. Juguery of
	Voucher Certifying Officer, Finance Iwans,
	x 2574) developed He following infruition
	the same of the sa
	presenting agency protice on approval
	of downtoned performed without prior
	written france orders:
	(35X1A The approval Stolement
	and I by
	authough by
<u> </u>	is used, but apparently only where
	James on dute & included
	Lamporary duty is involved.
	(2) h cases moderny permanent
	change of stator, which are apparently
	infequent, an autodated france order
	To the the proper torming officered
	So mied by the partforming offices
	(in the case of transfer bother
	Finder His world be
	interagency panagers, this would be
	He assistant Devetor of Reconnel)
25X1A9a	(3) dos
	not recold any previous cases
	involving donester witerogency pausfers
	where no prior written have order
in the state of th	lad been issued.

	Approved For Release 2007/02/07 : CIA-RDP58-00453R000300120139-7
a, , t	
7	et so the receiver of this other that
	It is the opinion of this office that there is no authority under gusting
	there is no authority under glisting
	nes agency regulations for the payment
	The state of the
<u>·</u>	of this claims the payment of the solutions of the payment of the
	to note however
-	
·.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	\
*	
,	
. · ·	
-	
•	
	TI TO THE TOTAL